

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/534,946 03/24/2000 Frank R. Ruderman MBHB00-203 1964 20306 12/27/2004 EXAMINER MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP BLECK, CAROLYN M 300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CHICAGO, IL 60606 3626

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	FALLBORY NA	<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/534,946	RUDERMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Carolyn M Bleck	3626
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	1 the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep by within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 C</u>	October 2004.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-28 and 38</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	-	1
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		<i>;</i>
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-28 and 38</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document. 		19(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
And the second		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	 □	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LI Interview Sun Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info.	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6 October 2004 has been entered.
- 2. This communication is in response to the RCE filed 6 October 2004. Claims 22-28 and 38 are pending. Claim 38 is newly added. Claims 22-27 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 22, 24-28, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levin et al. (5,724,580) in view of Otvos (6,576,471) and Bambeck (5,589,104).

Art Unit: 3626

- (A) As per claim 38, Levin discloses a system for managing coronary disease data (reads on "managing cardiovascular healthcare information") (col. 1 lines 9-18, col. 2 lines 39-45 and 50-57, and col. 11 lines 12-15) comprising:
- (a) a centralized data management center for maintaining a record of data received by and transmitted from relational databases relating to coronary disease data, wherein the records include patient diagnosis and treatment information collected over time, wherein the processing means at the centralized data management center provide for analyzing patient test results using a coronary wellness master algorithm and artificial intelligence, such as analyzing ECG information, lipid data, including total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol, and blood pressure of a patient (Fig. 3 and 25A, col. 5 lines 1-36, col. 6 lines 3-28, col. 7 lines 55-63, col. 7 line 64 to col. 8 line 7, col. 8 line 21 to col. 39, col. 10 lines 3-15, col. 10 lines 3-15 and lines 50-55, and col. 12 line 46 to col. 14 line 10), wherein the processing means runs a lipid classification algorithm by inputting patient's LDL and HDL cholesterol values and checking the patient values against the upper limit for normal LDL and HDL cholesterol values, wherein the normal values are stored in the databases at the centralized data management center (Fig. 1-2, 4, and 11-15, Abstract lines 11-14, col. 5 lines 25-37, col. 8 line 21 to col. 9 line 40, col. 10 lines 56-57, and col. 11 lines 5-10);
- (b) a monitor displaying a menu (reads on "data entry interface") for entering all known and required information, including patient information such as name, birth date, sex, height, and weight, and test results, such as lipid data, including total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol, and storing the information and test results at the

Art Unit: 3626

centralized data management center databases (Abstract lines 11-14, Fig. 1-2, col. 4 line 53 to col. 5 line 36, col. 10 lines 50-57, and col. 11 lines 5-10); and

(c) processing means at the centralized data management center for analyzing patient test results using a coronary wellness master algorithm and artificial intelligence, such as ECG information, lipid data, including total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol, and blood pressure of a patient (reads on "diagnostic engine") (col. 7 lines 55-63, col. 8 line 21 to col. 39, col. 10 lines 3-15, col. 10 lines 3-15 and lines 50-55, and col. 12 line 46 to col. 14 line 10), wherein the algorithm correlates test results with risk factors for coronary artery disease and possible treatment recommendations with regard to antischemic therapy, hypolipidemic therapy, antihypertensive therapy, antithrombotic therapy, diabetes, smoking cessation, body weight, and exercise (Fig. 25A-B and col. 10 lines 3-15), wherein an example of the correlation of risk factors includes running a classification of a patient into either an HDL cholesterol acceptable class or a HDL cholesterol low class or HDL elevated class (Fig. 25A-B and col. 8 lines 20-60).

In addition, Levin includes within Figures 11-15 measuring HDL and LDL levels using the units of mg/DL and then classifying the patient into an appropriate class, either an elevated class or optimal class based on the HDL and LDL levels (col. 8 lines 21-47). It is noted that HDL and LDL levels are sub classes of a patient's total cholesterol (Fig. 11-15 and col. 8 lines 21-47).

Levin fails to expressly disclose test results of concentration of subclasses of LDL and subclasses of HDL from cardiovascular patients.

Art Unit: 3626

Otvos discloses generating lipoprotein measurement values for a patient's blood sample, the lipoprotein measurement values including a plurality of lipoprotein subclass variable measurements, including LDL size, LDL concentration (reads on "concentration of subclasses of LDL"), large HDL concentration (reads on "concentration of subclasses of HDL"), large VLDL concentration, LDL-C, and HDL-C (see Fig. 2: 71), comparing the plurality of patient lipoprotein subclass variable values with respective predetermined test criteria for determining whether the subclass variable values are associated with a higher or lower risk of developing coronary heart disease, evaluating the lipoprotein measurement values and generating a reduced target value or values for what represents an optimal or low risk value for selected lipoprotein constituents to provide a patient-specific treatment guideline based on the presence of predetermined risk criteria, and automatically generating personalized lipoprotein-based reports for patients (Fig. 1: 32, 32a, 33, 33a, 33b, 43, 43a, 43b, Fig. 2, 2A, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, col. 3 line 32 to col. 4 line 5, col. 5 lines 22-45, col. 11 lines 12-46, col. 16 lines 48-62, col. 19 line 55 to col. 20 line 40).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the aforementioned lipoprotein subclass variable measurements of Otvos within the system taught by Levin with the motivation of accurately diagnosing a patient with coronary artery disease by using a comprehensive set of data thus allowing a physician to effectively manage coronary artery disease (Levin; col. 2 lines 15-60) and utilizing subclass information for lipoproteins because

Art Unit: 3626

subclass information provides a more reliable indicator of a patient's risk to develop coronary heart disease (Otvos; col. 1 lines 55-65).

As per the recitation of "identifying patients who do not have hyperlipidemia but are in need of treatment", Otvos teaches using NMR spectroscopy to obtain subclass information, wherein the subclass information is a more reliable indicator of a patient's risk to develop coronary heart disease, wherein various subclasses of lipoproteins may provide more reliable markers of the metabolic conditions that predispose individuals to a greater or lesser risk of heart disease (col. 1 line 42 to col. 2 line 11). Further, Otvos teaches that without an NMR subclass profile, a patient with a specific type of lipid profile may have been overlooked as a candidate for further review or potential behavior altering counseling (or even drug therapy) because of the number of borderline lipid measurement results (col. 16 lines 48-62). It is respectfully submitted that using an NMR subclass profile, such as that disclosed by Otvos, is a means for identifying patients who do not have hyperlipidemia but are in need of treatment (i.e., patients who would ordinarily be overlooked). The motivation being to improve the health care of patients by using the subclass information as a more reliable indicator of a patient's risk to develop coronary heart disease (col. 1 line 42 to col. 2 line 11).

Levin and Otvos fail to expressly disclose the subclasses of LDL particles and subclasses of HDL particles being levels determined by gradient gel electrophoresis.

Bambeck discloses a method of using gradient gel electrophoresis to determine the levels of HDL lipoprotein subfractions and LDL subfractions (col. 1 lines 35-48, col. 1 line 64 to col. 2 line 24, col. 2 lines 50-60, col. 10 line 55 to col. 11 line 5).

Art Unit: 3626

At the time the invention was made, it would have been have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the features of Bambeck within the system of Levin and Otvos with the motivation of providing a means for analyzing LDL and HDL families of lipoproteins in a timely manner and with high resolution (Bambeck; col. 2 lines 24-44).

- (B) As per claim 22, Levin discloses a monitor displaying a menu (reads on "physician data access interface") for providing a physician, such as a cardiologist, with the ability to access, display, review, and transfer information stored at the centralized data management center (col. 2 lines 1-15, col. 5 lines 49-67, and col. 11 lines 1-10).
- (C) As per claim 24, Levin discloses a storage means that stores information related to coronary illness risk factors which have been established based on empirical data, wherein the information allows physicians to determine the effectiveness of diagnoses and treatments as the information is gathered over time and as the pool of treated patients increases (Abstract lines 11-14, col. 6 line 3-15, and col. 10 lines 3-15). It is respectfully submitted that the storage means disclosed by Levin is a form of a knowledge base as the data collected in the database is a collection of knowledge of specialists such as cardiologists, and the data collected will be used to effectively identify patients at significant risk of sudden death and to quantify the success of various treatments both for the patient pool and fro particular patients (col. 6 line 3-15).

Page 8

Art Unit: 3626

- (D) As per claims 25-27, Levin discloses processing means at the centralized data management center for analyzing patient test results using a coronary wellness master algorithm and artificial intelligence, wherein patient test results include ECG information, lipid data, including total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol, and blood pressure of a patient, and wherein the test results determine base numbers for a patient (reads on "diagnostic engine" and "baseline determination for ongoing therapy monitoring") (Fig. 3, col. 5 lines 25-36, col. 6 lines 16-28, col. 7 lines 55-63, col. 7 line 64 to col. 8 line 7, col. 8 line 21 to col. 39, col. 9 lines 18-39, col. 10 lines 3-15, col. 10 lines 3-15 and lines 50-55, and col. 12 line 46 to col. 14 line 10) wherein:
- (a) the algorithms correlate test results with possible treatment recommendations with regard to antischemic therapy, hypolipidemic therapy, antihypertensive therapy, antithrombotic therapy, diabetes, smoking cessation, body weight, and exercise (Fig. 25A-B and col. 10 lines 3-15);
- (b) the algorithms correlate test results with possible or recommended diagnoses, such as such as whether the levels of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and HDL cholesterol are acceptable or not, and the diagnosis classification for blood pressure of a patient, wherein the classification includes normal, high-normal, mild hypertension, moderate hypertension, severe hypertension, and very severe hypertension (col. 8 line 21 to col. 9 line 39, col. 10 lines 3-15, and col. 11 line 10-15); and

1

Application/Control Number: 09/534,946

Art Unit: 3626

- (c) the algorithms correlate diagnosis information with possible or recommended treatments (Fig. 25A-B, col. 5 lines 16-37, col. 6 line 16 to col. 7 line 47, col. 8 line 21 to col. 9 line 39, and col. 10 lines 3-15).
- 5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levin et al. (5,724,580), Otvos (6,576,471), and Bambeck (5,589,104) as applied to claim 37, and further in view of Surwit et al. (6,024,699).
- (A) As per claim 23, the relevant teachings of Levin and Otvos, and the motivation for their combination is as discussed in the rejections above, and incorporated herein.

Levin, Otvos, and Bambeck fail to expressly disclose a communication system allowing the physician to communicate cardiovascular healthcare management information to a patient. However, Levin includes communicating coronary illness information to and from a physician, such as a cardiologist, via communication network (Fig. 1-3 and 25A-25B, col. 2 line 62 to col. 3 line 10, col. 4 lines 31-55, col. 7 lines 33-47, and col. 7 line 64 to col. 8 line 7).

Surwit discloses a system for monitoring, diagnosing, prioritizing, and treating chronic medical conditions of a plurality of remotely located patients, wherein treatment information is provided to a patient via a computer network (Fig. 1 and 3, col. 2 lines 38-55, col. 3 lines 24-38, col. 6 line 27 to col. 7 line 13, col. 9 lines 24-58, col. 18 line 45 to col. 19 line 40).

Art Unit: 3626

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the aforementioned component of Surwit within the system taught collectively by Levin, Otvos, and Bambeck with the motivation of quickly and easily monitoring patients and automatically identifying a patient with a medical condition, quickly preparing and revising medicine dosages for a patient and then efficiently communicating revised dosage information to a patient (Surwit; col. 2 lines 25-35), and reducing the costs of medical treatment by providing a fast, effective technique for providing comprehensive management of coronary patients based on risk factors including up to date diagnoses and treatment information (Levin; col. 2 lines 16-49).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-28 and 38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. The cited but not applied prior art teaches a low density lipoprotein fraction assay for cardiac disease risk (5,925,229).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn Bleck whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Art Unit: 3626

3981. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00am – 5:30pm, and from 8:30am – 5:00pm on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached at (703) 305-9588.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-1113.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 or (703) 872-9326

[Official communications]

Art Unit: 3626

Page 12

(703) 872-9327

[After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(703) 746-8374

[Informal/ Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th Floor (Receptionist).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

December 20, 2004